# The Paleocene/Eocene boundary and emendation of the NP9/NP10 zonal boundary of Martini (1971)

## **Eric De Kaenel**

DPR, Chemin sous la Roche 4b, 1185 Mont-sur-Rolle, Switzerland. edekaenel@bluewin.ch

### David Bord

Ellington Geological Services, 1414 Lumpkin Road, Houston, Texas 77043, USA

### James J. Pospichal

BugWare Inc., 1615 Village Square Blvd, Ste. 8, Tallahassee, Florida 32309, USA

The reference section for the Paleocene/Eocene boundary (GSSP: Global Standard Stratotype-section) is the Dababiya quarry near Luxor in Egypt. This section is considered the most complete Upper Paleocene to Lower Eocene sequence representative of this boundary with a 2.83 m PETM (Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum) interval (core and phase I intervals according to Röhl et al., 2007). A new analysis of this section based on 56 samples was undertaken in 2022. Samples were collected at 2 cm, 5 cm, and 10 cm by Khozyem et al. (2014). Samples were processed for calcareous nannofossils and prepared on glass slides according to the method (settling technique) described by De Kaenel & Villa (1996). Preservation of nannofossils is exceptionally good except for a 52 cm-thick dissolution interval (devoid of nannofossils) at the base of the PETM. Nannofossil simple diversity varies between 50 and 123 species below and above the barren interval. A total of 180 species were identified from the upper Paleocene to lower Eocene. No reworking was observed.

According to the standard nannofossil zonation of Martini (1971), the NP9/NP10 boundary is placed at the LO (lowest occurrence) of *Tribrachiatus bramlettei* and is usually used to approximate the Paleocene/Eocene boundary. Noting the exact position of the LO of *T. bramlettei* is problematic because of the difficulties in distinguishing specimens of genus *Tribrachiatus* (a triradiate structure superimposed on another trigonal structure) from those of the genus *Rhomboaster* (a cubic/rhombohedral form albeit a flattened or misshapen one) at the top of the PETM core/base recovery phase I intervals. Detailed observations of the structure and geometry of the inter-arms regions between spines are used here to distinguish these two genera, but do not allow precise placement of the NP9/NP10 boundary.

In the literature, the NP9/NP10 is placed within the PETM interval (e.g. Menini et al., 2022), at the base of the genus *Rhomboaster* (e.g. Bybell & Self-Trail, 1997) or well above the PETM interval (Aubry et al., 2000). Discrepancies are related to the position of the LO of *T. bramlettei* and the individual workers method for making (or not making) a distinction between it and *Rhomboaster*. In the Dababiya section, we observed the LO of the genus *Rhomboaster* (small cubic *R. cuspis*) at the base of the NCIE (negative carbon isotope excursion) and therefore at the Paleocene/Eocene boundary and the LO of the genus *Tribrachiatus* at the top of the NCIE. In order to clarify the position of the NP9/NP10 boundary, the following changes to the standard nannofossil zonation of Martini (1971) are introduced:

NP9 – *Discoaster multiradiatus* Zone - emended Definition: Interval from the LO of *Discoaster multiradiatus* to the LO of the genus *Rhomboaster*. Authors: Bramlette & Sullivan (1961) emended De Kaenel, Bord & Pospichal.

NP10 – *Tribrachiatus contortus* Zone – emended Definition: Interval from the LO of the genus *Rhomboaster* to the LO of *Tribrachiatus contortus*. Authors: Hay (1964) emended De Kaenel, Bord & Pospichal. These emendations will resolve discrepancies of the position of the NP9/NP10 and bring consistency among biostratigraphers working on the PETM interval. This boundary will be easier to recognize and would unify the stage and biozone boundaries.

#### References:

- Aubry, M.-P., Cramer, B.S., Miller, K.G., Wright, J.D., Kent, D.V. & Olsson, R.K. 2000. Late Paleocene Event chronology: Unconformities, not diachrony. *Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France* 171(3): 367-378.
- Bramlette, M.N. & Sullivan, F.R. 1961. Coccolithophorids and related nannoplankton of the Early Tertiary in California. *Micropaleontology* 1: 129–74.
- Bybell, L.M. & Self-Trail, J.M. 1997. Late Paleocene and early Eocene calcareous nannofossils from three boreholes in an onshoreoffshore transect from New Jersey to the Atlantic continental rise. *In*: K.G. Miller & S.W. Snyder (Eds.). *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific Results* **150X**, College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program): 91–110.
- De Kaenel, E. & Villa, G. 1996. Oligocene–Miocene calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy and paleoecology from the Iberia Abyssal Plain. *In*: R.B. Whitmarsh, D.S. Sawyer, A. Klaus & D.G. Masson (Eds.). *Proceedings of the ODP, Scientific Results* 149, College Station, TX (Ocean Drilling Program): 79–145.
- Hay, W.W. 1964. Utilisation stratigraphique des Discoastérides pour la zonation du Paléocène et l'Eocène inférieur. Mémoires du Bureau recherches géologiques et minières 28(2): 885–889.
- Khozyem, H., Adatte, T., Keller, G., Tantawy, A.A. & Spangenberg, J.E. 2014. The Palaeocene–Eocene GSSP at Dababiya, Egypt-Revisited. *Episodes* **37**(2): 78–86.
- Martini, E. 1971. Standard Tertiary and Quaternary calcareous nannoplankton zonation. *In*: A. Farinacci (Ed.). *Proceedings of the Second Planktonic Conference Roma 1970*. Edizioni Tecnoscienza Rome, 2: 739–785.
- Menini, A., Mattioli, E., Vinçon-Laugier, A. & Suan, G. 2022. Calcareous nannofossil biostratigraphy across the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum. *Newsletters on Stratigraphy* **55**(1): 69–97.
- Röhl, U., Westerhold, T., Bralower, T.J. & Zachos, J.C. 2007. On the duration of the Paleocene–Eocene thermal maximum (PETM). *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 8: Q12002.